The world's most
consistent and
transparent Life Cycle
Inventory database



# Consequential LCA system model: implementation in ecoinvent version 3 and illustration of consequences on selected supply chains

Gregor Wernet

Executive Director, ecoinvent

Agroscope

EMPA

## System models in version 3

- A **system model** is a collection of modeling choices made for the database
  - □ Solving the allocation problem
  - Recycling and waste streams
  - □ Handling constraints in suppliers
- In version 3, multiple system models are possible
  - Different perspectives at the same database

## System models in version 3



## System models in ecoinvent v3

- Allocation at the point of substitution
- Allocation, Recycled Content cut-off
- Consequential (long-term, small-scale)
- Other models possible
  - □ Waste/Recycling system models
  - Other allocation choices
  - □ Integration with specific standards
  - Complete mass- or carbon-based allocation (Mass Flow Analysis)

## The Consequential SM in ecoinvent v3

• Offering a consequential system model was an ambition in version 3 as the use of attributional background data introduced errors of unknown impact in consequential studies

- Implementation in version 3 is a basic version of the consequential approach
  - □ Foreground systems can and should show more specificity
  - Important parts of the background supply chain can be checked and adapted if necessary
- A basic consequential ecoinvent version allows research and work on consequential database development

## The Consequential SM in ecoinvent v3

- Use of **Substitution** for by-products to avoid allocation
- Use of marginal suppliers only
  - Constraints of by-product production
  - □ Constraints due to technology
- Consumption of by-products creates demand for primary production
  - Alternative production routes
  - **Constrained markets** identify the marginal consumer of constrained products

### Substitution in the ecoinvent v3



### Substitution in the ecoinvent v3



Trust in Transparency!

- **By-products** are generally constrained and therefore not available to supply activities
  - Many datasets that exist in the allocation-based models do not exist in the consequential model
- Products are also constrained based on the **technology level** of the producing activity



#### Attributional model



#### Consequential model







- Determination of constraints via technology levels is a basic approach
  - □ Application to many thousands of datasets requires an efficient solution
- Data providers are looking to improve on these models
  - Integration of advanced forecasting data in the works



- Constrained markets occur when by-product cannot be substituted by primary production
- Chlorine production is an example of a constrained by-product
  - □ Sodium hydroxide is a by-product of chlorine production
  - Constrained market for NaOH
- Use of NaOH leads not to excess Chlorine production but to reduced use of NaOH elsewhere, requiring a substitute defined by the marginal consumption activity





 $\rightarrow$  Output of 1 kg NaOH

- ignored by linking algorithm in other system models
- direct link to marginal consumption
- **property tag** which defines the level of constraint and which system models use this special flow

- Link to marginal consumption activity: NaOH as a neutralising agent
- Market for neutralising agents defines substitution
  In this case: Sodium Carbonate
- In the consequential model, using NaOH results in adding the burden of the equivalent amount of Sodium Carbonate due to the displacement of the marginal consumption
- Stored in the same database, but does not affect other system models



### Conclusions

- The consequential model follows the core tenets of consequential modelling
  - □ Limited to small-scale, long-term
- Implementation rather basic to address poor data situation
- Adaptations possible in the unit process structure
- Improvements on important markets such as electricity foreseen